

Reference = ANASHIN 14; PL B738 391
 Verifier code = EIDELMAN

Normally we send all verifications for one experiment to one person, usually the spokesperson or data-analysis coordinator, who then distributes them to the appropriate people. Please tell us if we should send the verifications for your experiment to someone else.

PLEASE READ NOW

**PLEASE
REPLY
WITHIN
ONE WEEK**

Simon Eidelman

EMAIL: simon.eidelman@cern.ch

July 21, 2016

Dear Colleague,

- (1) Please check the results of your experiment carefully. They are marked.
- (2) Please reply within one week.
- (3) Please reply even if everything is correct.
- (4) IMPORTANT!! Please tell WHICH papers you are verifying. We have lots of requests out.
- (5) Feel free to make comments on our treatment of any of the results (not just yours) you see.

Thank you for helping us make the Review accurate and useful.

Sincerely,

Simon Eidelman
 BINP, Budker Inst. of Nuclear Physics
 Prospekt Lavrent'eva 11
 RU-630090 Novosibirsk
 Russian Federation

EMAIL: simon.eidelman@cern.ch

$c\bar{c}$ MESONS

$\eta_c(1S)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^-+)$

$\eta_c(1S)$ MASS

	VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
	2983.4 ± 0.5 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.2.	
YOUR DATA	2982.2 ± 1.5 ± 0.1	2.0k	1 AAIJ	15BI LHCb	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)X$	
	2983.5 ± 1.4 ± 1.6		2 ANASHIN	14 KEDR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$	
	2979.8 ± 0.8 ± 3.5	4.5k	3,4 LEES	14E BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$	
	2984.1 ± 1.1 ± 2.1	900	3,4,5 LEES	14E BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$	
	2984.3 ± 0.6 ± 0.6		6,7 ABLIKIM	12F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$	
	2984.49 ± 1.16 ± 0.52	832	3 ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$ hadrons	
	2982.7 ± 1.8 ± 2.2	486	ZHANG	12A BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$	
	2984.5 ± 0.8 ± 3.1	11k	DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	
	2985.4 ± 1.5 ± 0.5	920	7 VINOKUROVA	11 BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm(K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp)$	
	2982.2 ± 0.4 ± 1.6	14k	8 LEES	10 BABR	$10.6 \frac{e^+e^-}{e^+e^-} \rightarrow K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$	
	2985.8 ± 1.5 ± 3.1	0.9k	AUBERT	08AB BABR	$B \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)K^{(*)} \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi K^{(*)}$	
	2986.1 ± 1.0 ± 2.5	7.5k	UEHARA	08 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow$ hadrons	
	2970 ± 5 ± 6	501	9 ABE	07 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi(c\bar{c})$	
	2971 ± 3 ± 2	195	WU	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+$	
	2974 ± 7 ± 2	20	WU	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}K^+$	OCCUR=2
	2981.8 ± 1.3 ± 1.5	592	ASNER	04 CLEO	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$	
	2984.1 ± 2.1 ± 1.0	190	10 AMBROGIANI	03 E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	
	• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
	2982.5 ± 0.4 ± 1.4	12k	11 DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$	OCCUR=2
	2982.2 ± 0.6		12 MITCHELL	09 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$	
	2982 ± 5	270	13 AUBERT	06E BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm X_{c\bar{c}}$	
	2982.5 ± 1.1 ± 0.9	2.5k	14 AUBERT	04D BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$	
	2977.5 ± 1.0 ± 1.2		12,15 BAI	03 BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$	
	2979.6 ± 2.3 ± 1.6	180	16 FANG	03 BELL	$B \rightarrow \eta_c K$	
	2976.3 ± 2.3 ± 1.2		12,17 BAI	00F BES	$J/\psi, \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$	
	2976.6 ± 2.9 ± 1.3	140	12,18 BAI	00F BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$	OCCUR=2
	2980.4 ± 2.3 ± 0.6		19 BRANDENB...	00B CLE2	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0\pi^\mp$	
	2975.8 ± 3.9 ± 1.2		18 BAI	99B BES	Sup. by BAI 00F	
	2999 ± 8	25	ABREU	980 DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ +hadrons	
	2988.3 ± 3.3 - 3.1		ARMSTRONG	95F E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	
	2974.4 ± 1.9		12,20 BISELLO	91 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c\gamma$	
	2969 ± 4 ± 4	80	12 BAI	90B MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+K^-K^+K^-$	
	2956 ± 12 ± 12		12 BAI	90B MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+K^-K_S^0K_L^0$	OCCUR=3
	2982.6 ± 2.7 - 2.3	12	BAGLIN	87B SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	
	2980.2 ± 1.6		12,20 BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c\gamma$	
	2984 ± 2.3 ± 4.0		12 GAISER	86 CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X, \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$	
	2976 ± 8		12,21 BALTRUSAIT..84	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow 2\phi\gamma$	
	2982 ± 8	18	22 HIMEL	80B MRK2	e^+e^-	
	2980 ± 9		22 PARTRIDGE	80B CBAL	e^+e^-	

¹ AAIJ 15BI reports $m_{J/\psi} - m_{\eta_c(1S)} = 114.7 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.1$ MeV from a sample of $\eta_c(1S)$ and J/ψ produced in b -hadron decays. We have used current value of $m_{J/\psi} = 3096.900 \pm 0.006$ MeV to arrive at the quoted $m_{\eta_c(1S)}$ result.

² Taking into account an asymmetric photon lineshape.

³ With floating width.

⁴ Ignoring possible interference with the non-resonant 0^- amplitude.

⁵ Using both, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays.

⁶ From a simultaneous fit to six decay modes of the η_c .

⁷ Accounts for interference with non-resonant continuum.

⁸ Taking into account interference with the non-resonant $J^P = 0^-$ amplitude.

⁹ From a fit of the J/ψ recoil mass spectrum. Supersedes ABE,K 02 and ABE 04G.

¹⁰ Using mass of $\psi(2S) = 3686.00$ MeV.

¹¹ Not independent from the measurements reported by LEES 10.

¹² MITCHELL 09 observes a significant asymmetry in the lineshapes of $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$ and $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$ transitions. If ignored, this asymmetry could lead to significant bias whenever the mass and width are measured in $\psi(2S)$ or J/ψ radiative decays.

¹³ From the fit of the kaon momentum spectrum. Systematic errors not evaluated.

¹⁴ Superseded by LEES 10.

¹⁵ From a simultaneous fit of five decay modes of the η_c .

¹⁶ Superseded by VINOKUROVA 11.

¹⁷ Weighted average of the $\psi(2S)$ and $J/\psi(1S)$ samples. Using an η_c width of 13.2 MeV.

¹⁸ Average of several decay modes. Using an η_c width of 13.2 MeV.

¹⁹ Superseded by ASNER 04.

²⁰ Average of several decay modes.

²¹ $\eta_c \rightarrow \phi\phi$.

²² Mass adjusted by us to correspond to $J/\psi(1S)$ mass = 3097 MeV.

NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=D

NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=E

NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=AL

NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=LS

NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=EL

NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=BL

NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=VA

NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=LE

NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=EB

NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=BG

NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=DE

NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=MI

NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=UB

NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=AK

NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=FA

NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=KZ

NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=C1

NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=NN

NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M026M;LINKAGE=M

NODE=M026W

NODE=M026W

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
31.9 ± 1.0 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.2.
27.2 ± 3.1 ± 5.4		1 ANASHIN	14 KEDR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$
25.2 ± 2.6 ± 2.4	4.5k	2,3 LEES	14E BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
34.8 ± 3.1 ± 4.0	900	2,3,4 LEES	14E BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$
32.0 ± 1.2 ± 1.0		5,6 ABLIKIM	12F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$
36.4 ± 3.2 ± 1.7	832	2 ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$ hadrons
37.8 ± 5.8 ± 3.1	486	ZHANG	12A BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$
36.2 ± 2.8 ± 3.0	11k	DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
35.1 ± 3.1 ± 1.0	920	6 VINOKUROVA 11	BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm(K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp)$
31.7 ± 1.2 ± 0.8	14k	7 LEES	10 BABR	$10.6 \frac{e^+e^-}{e^+e^-K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp} \rightarrow$
36.3 ± 3.7 ± 4.4	0.9k	AUBERT	08AB BABR	$B \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)K^{(*)} \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi K^{(*)}$
28.1 ± 3.2 ± 2.2	7.5k	UEHARA	08 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
48 ± 8 ± 5	195	WU	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+$
40 ± 19 ± 5	20	WU	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}K^+$
24.8 ± 3.4 ± 3.5	592	ASNER	04 CLEO	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$
20.4 ± 7.7 ± 2.0	190	AMBROGIANI 03	E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
23.9 ± 12.6		ARMSTRONG 95F	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
32.1 ± 1.1 ± 1.3	12k	8 DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$
34.3 ± 2.3 ± 0.9	2.5k	9 AUBERT	04D BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
17.0 ± 3.7 ± 7.4		10 BAI	03 BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$
29 ± 8 ± 6	180	11 FANG	03 BELL	$B \rightarrow \eta_c K$
11.0 ± 8.1 ± 4.1		12 BAI	00F BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$ and $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$
27.0 ± 5.8 ± 1.4		13 BRANDENB...	00B CLE2	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0\pi^\mp$
7.0 ± 7.5	12	BAGLIN	87B SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
10.1 ± 33.0	23	14 BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$

YOUR NOTE

11.5 ± 4.5	GAISER	86	CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X, \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
< 40 90% CL	18	HIMEL	80B	$MRK2 e^+ e^-$
< 20 90% CL		PARTRIDGE	80B	$CBAL e^+ e^-$

YOUR NOTE

- 1 Taking into account an asymmetric photon lineshape.
- 2 With floating mass.
- 3 Ignoring possible interference with the non-resonant 0^- amplitude.
- 4 Using both, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays.
- 5 From a simultaneous fit to six decay modes of the η_c .
- 6 Accounts for interference with non-resonant continuum.
- 7 Taking into account interference with the non-resonant $J^P = 0^-$ amplitude.
- 8 Not independent from the measurements reported by LEES 10.
- 9 Superseded by LEES 10.
- 10 From a simultaneous fit of five decay modes of the η_c .
- 11 Superseded by VINOKUROVA 11.
- 12 From a fit to the 4-prong invariant mass in $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$ and $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$ decays.
- 13 Superseded by ASNER 04.
- 14 Positive and negative errors correspond to 90% confidence level.

NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=A
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=AL
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=LS
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=EL
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=BL
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=VA
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=LE
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=DE
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=UB
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=AK
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=FA
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=KZ
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=NN
 NODE=M026W;LINKAGE=L

$\eta_c(1S)$ REFERENCES

YOUR PAPER

AAIJ	15BI	EPJ C75 311	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ANASHIN	14	PL B738 391	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)
LEES	14E	PR D89 112004	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ABLIKIM	12F	PR1 108 222002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
ABLIKIM	12N	PR D86 092009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
ZHANG	12A	PR D86 052002	C.C. Zhang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DEL-AMO-SA..	11M	PR D84 012004	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
VINOKUROVA	11	PL B706 139	A. Vinokurova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LEES	10	PR D81 052010	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
MITCHELL	09	PRL 102 011801	R.E. Mitchell <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	08AB	PR D78 012006	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
UEHARA	08	EPJ C53 1	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABE	07	PRL 98 082001	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	06E	PR D96 052002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
WU	06	PRL 97 162003	C.-H. Wu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABE	04G	PR D70 071102	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ASNER	04	PR D92 142001	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	04D	PR D92 142002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AMBROGIANI	03	PL B566 45	M. Ambrogiani <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835 Collab.)
BAI	03	PL B555 174	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
FANG	03	PRL 90 071801	F. Fang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABE.K	02	PRL 89 142001	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
BAI	00F	PR D62 072001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BRANDENB...	00B	PRL 85 3095	G. Brandenburg <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BAI	99B	PR D60 072001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABREU	98O	PL B441 479	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	95F	PR D52 4839	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
BISELLO	91	NP B350 1	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
BAI	90B	PRL 65 1309	Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
BAGLIN	87B	PL B187 191	C. Baglin <i>et al.</i>	(R704 Collab.)
BALTRUSAIT...	86	PR D33 629	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
GAISER	86	PR D34 711	J. Gaiser <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
BALTRUSAIT...	84	PR D52 2126	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, UCSC+) JP
HIMEL	80B	PRL 45 1146	T.M. Himmel <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL, UCB)
PARTRIDGE	80B	PRL 45 1150	R. Partridge <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)

NODE=M026

REFID=57147
 REFID=56130
 REFID=55937
 REFID=54271
 REFID=54741
 REFID=54763
 REFID=16751
 REFID=53927
 REFID=53236
 REFID=52676
 REFID=52267
 REFID=52064
 REFID=51627
 REFID=51059
 REFID=51472
 REFID=50182
 REFID=49745
 REFID=49746
 REFID=49465
 REFID=49185
 REFID=49206
 REFID=49188
 REFID=48546
 REFID=48553
 REFID=47385
 REFID=46553
 REFID=44623
 REFID=41668
 REFID=41354
 REFID=40018
 REFID=22009
 REFID=22012
 REFID=22006
 REFID=22003
 REFID=22004
 NODE=M070

 $J/\psi(1S)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

$J/\psi(1S)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

RADIATIVE DECAYS

$\Gamma(\gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

1.7 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.5.

2.01 ± 0.32 ± 0.02 1 MITCHELL 09 CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
 1.27 ± 0.36 GAISER 86 CBAL $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

YOUR DATA

seen		ANASHIN	14	KEDR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$
0.79 ± 0.20	273 ± 43	2 AUBERT	06E BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm X_c \bar{c}$	
seen	16	BALTRUSAIT..	84 MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow 2\phi\gamma$	

NODE=M070230

NODE=M070310

NODE=M070R85

NODE=M070R85

¹ MITCHELL 09 reports $(1.98 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-2}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-)]$ assuming $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) = (35.04 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.77) \times 10^{-2}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) = (34.49 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Calculated by the authors using an average of $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \times B(\eta_c \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)$ from BALTRUSAITIS 86, BISELLO 91, BAI 04 and $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi) = (8.5 \pm 1.8)\%$ from AUBERT 06E.

NODE=M070R85;LINKAGE=MI

NODE=M070R85;LINKAGE=AU

NODE=M070

REFID=56130
 REFID=52676
 REFID=51059
 REFID=49620
 REFID=41668
 REFID=22009
 REFID=22012
 REFID=22006

J/ ψ (1S) REFERENCES

YOUR PAPER	ANASHIN	14	PL B738 391	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)
	MITCHELL	09	PRL 102 011801	R.E. Mitchell <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
	AUBERT	06E	PRL 96 052002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
	BAI	04	PL B578 16	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
	BISELLLO	91	NP B350 1	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
	BALTRUSAIT... 86	86	PR D33 629	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
	GAISER	86	PR D34 711	J. Gaisser <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
	BALTRUSAIT... 84	84	PRL 52 2126	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, UCSC+)

Reference = ANASHIN 15; PL B749 50
 Verifier code = EIDELMAN

Normally we send all verifications for one experiment to one person, usually the spokesperson or data-analysis coordinator, who then distributes them to the appropriate people. Please tell us if we should send the verifications for your experiment to someone else.

PLEASE READ NOW

**PLEASE
REPLY
WITHIN
ONE WEEK**

Simon Eidelman

EMAIL: simon.eidelman@cern.ch

July 21, 2016

Dear Colleague,

- (1) Please check the results of your experiment carefully. They are marked.
- (2) Please reply within one week.
- (3) Please reply even if everything is correct.
- (4) IMPORTANT!! Please tell WHICH papers you are verifying. We have lots of requests out.
- (5) Feel free to make comments on our treatment of any of the results (not just yours) you see.

Thank you for helping us make the Review accurate and useful.

Sincerely,

Simon Eidelman
 BINP, Budker Inst. of Nuclear Physics
 Prospekt Lavrent'eva 11
 RU-630090 Novosibirsk
 Russian Federation

EMAIL: simon.eidelman@cern.ch

$c\bar{c}$ MESONS

$J/\psi(1S)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^- -)$

$J/\psi(1S)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3096.900 ± 0.006 OUR AVERAGE				
3096.66 ± 0.19 ± 0.02	6.1k	1 AAIJ	15B1 LHCb	$p p \rightarrow J/\psi X$
3096.900 ± 0.002 ± 0.006		2 ANASHIN	15 KEDR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
3096.89 ± 0.09	502	3 ARTAMONOV 00	OLYA	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
3096.91 ± 0.03 ± 0.01		4 ARMSTRONG 93B	E760	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow e^+ e^-$
3096.95 ± 0.1 ± 0.3	193	BAGLIN	87 SPEC	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow e^+ e^- X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3096.917 ± 0.010 ± 0.007		AULCHENKO 03	KEDR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
3097.5 ± 0.3		GRIBUSHIN 96	FMPS	$515 \pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow 2\mu X$
3098.4 ± 2.0	38k	LEMOIGNE 82	GOLI	$185 \pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^- A$
3096.93 ± 0.09	502	5 ZHOLENTZ 80	REDE	$e^+ e^-$
3097.0 ± 1		6 BRANDELIK 79C	DASP	$e^+ e^-$

1 From a sample of $\eta_c(1S)$ and J/ψ produced in b -hadron decays.

2 Supersedes AULCHENKO 03.

3 Reanalysis of ZHOLENTZ 80 using new electron mass (COHEN 87) and radiative corrections (KURAEV 85).

4 Mass central value and systematic error recalculated by us according to Eq. (16) in ARMSTRONG 93B, using the value for the $\psi(2S)$ mass from AULCHENKO 03.

5 Superseded by ARTAMONOV 00.

6 From a simultaneous fit to $e^+ e^-$, $\mu^+ \mu^-$ and hadronic channels assuming $\Gamma(e^+ e^-) = \Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^-)$.

NODE=MXXX025

NODE=M070

NODE=M070M

NODE=M070M

YOUR DATA

YOUR NOTE

$J/\psi(1S)$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	15B1	EPJ C75 311	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ANASHIN	15	PL B749 50	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)
AULCHENKO	03	PL B573 63	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)
ARTAMONOV	00	PL B474 427	A.S. Artamonov <i>et al.</i>	
GRIBUSHIN	96	PR D53 423	A. Gribushin <i>et al.</i>	(E672 Collab., E760 Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	93B	PR D47 772	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E760 Collab.)
BAGLIN	87	NP B286 592	C. Baglin <i>et al.</i>	(LAPP, CERN, GENO, LYON+)
COHEN	87	RMP 59 1121	E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor	(RISC, NBS)
KURAEV	85	SJNP 41 466	E.A. Kuraev, V.S. Fadin	(NOVO)
		Translated from YAF 41 733.		
LEMOIGNE	82	PL 113B 509	Y. Lemoigne <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, LOIC, SHMP+)
ZHOLENTZ	80	PL 96B 214	A.A. Zholtens <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
Also		SJNP 34 814	A.A. Zholtens <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from YAF 34 1471.		
BRANDELIK	79C	ZPHY C1 233	R. Brandelik <i>et al.</i>	(DASP Collab.)

$\psi(2S)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^- -)$

See the Review on “ $\psi(2S)$ and χ_c branching ratios” before the $\chi_{c0}(1P)$ Listings.

NODE=M070M;LINKAGE=B

NODE=M070M;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M070M;LINKAGE=AR

NODE=M070M;LINKAGE=NW

NODE=M070M;LINKAGE=RZ

NODE=M070M;LINKAGE=F

NODE=M070

REFID=57147

REFID=56792

REFID=49579

REFID=47424

REFID=44739

REFID=43307

REFID=40002

REFID=11616

REFID=40033

REFID=22084

REFID=10320

REFID=10321

REFID=22114

NODE=M071

NODE=M071

NODE=M071M

NODE=M071M

NODE=M071M

YOUR PAPER

$\psi(2S)$ MASS

OUR FIT includes measurements of $m_{\psi(2S)}$, $m_{\psi(3770)}$, and $m_{\psi(3770)} - m_{\psi(2S)}$.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3686.097 ± 0.010 OUR AVERAGE				
3686.099 ± 0.004 ± 0.009		1 ANASHIN	15 KEDR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
3686.12 ± 0.06 ± 0.10	4k	AAIJ	12H LHCb	$p p \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- X$
3685.95 ± 0.10	413	2 ARTAMONOV 00	OLYA	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
3685.98 ± 0.09 ± 0.04		3 ARMSTRONG 93B	E760	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow e^+ e^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3686.114 \pm 0.007 $^{+0.011}_{-0.016}$	⁴ ANASHIN	12	KEDR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
3686.111 \pm 0.025 \pm 0.009	AULCHENKO	03	KEDR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
3686.00 \pm 0.10	413	5	ZHOLENTZ	80 OLYA $e^+ e^-$

YOUR NOTE

¹ Supersedes AULCHENKO 03 and ANASHIN 12.

² Reanalysis of ZHOLENTZ 80 using new electron mass (COHEN 87) and radiative corrections (KURAEV 85).

³ Mass central value and systematic error recalculated by us according to Eq.(16) in ARMSTRONG 93B, using the value for the $J/\psi(1S)$ mass from AULCHENKO 03.

⁴ From the scans in 2004 and 2006. ANASHIN 12 reports the value $3686.114 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.011^{+0.002}_{-0.012}$ MeV, where the third uncertainty is due to assumptions on the interference between the resonance and hadronic continuum. We combined the two systematic uncertainties.

⁵ Superseded by ARTAMONOV 00.

NODE=M071M;LINKAGE=A
NODE=M071M;LINKAGE=AR

NODE=M071M;LINKAGE=NW

NODE=M071M;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=M071M;LINKAGE=RZ

NODE=M071

REFID=56792
REFID=54056
REFID=54038
REFID=49579
REFID=47424
REFID=43307
REFID=11616
REFID=40033

REFID=10320
REFID=10321

YOUR PAPER

ANASHIN	15	PL B749 50	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)
AAIJ	12H	EPJ C72 1972	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ANASHIN	12	PL B711 280	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)
AULCHENKO	03	PL B573 63	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)
ARTAMONOV	00	PL B474 427	A.S. Artamonov <i>et al.</i>	
ARMSTRONG	93B	PR D47 772	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E760 Collab.)
COHEN	87	RMP 59 1121	E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor	(RISC, NBS)
KURAEV	85	SJNP 41 466	E.A. Kuraev, V.S. Fadin	(NOVO)
ZHOLENTZ	80	PL 96B 214	A.A. Zholtens <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
Also		SJNP 34 814	A.A. Zholtens <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from YAF 41 733.		
		Translated from YAF 34 1471.		

$\psi(2S)$ REFERENCES

ANASHIN	15	PL B749 50	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)
AAIJ	12H	EPJ C72 1972	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ANASHIN	12	PL B711 280	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)
AULCHENKO	03	PL B573 63	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)
ARTAMONOV	00	PL B474 427	A.S. Artamonov <i>et al.</i>	
ARMSTRONG	93B	PR D47 772	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E760 Collab.)
COHEN	87	RMP 59 1121	E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor	(RISC, NBS)
KURAEV	85	SJNP 41 466	E.A. Kuraev, V.S. Fadin	(NOVO)
ZHOLENTZ	80	PL 96B 214	A.A. Zholtens <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
Also		SJNP 34 814	A.A. Zholtens <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from YAF 41 733.		
		Translated from YAF 34 1471.		